



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,145	06/20/2001	Carl R. Peterson	FM-199J	3105
7	7590 05/20/2003			
IANDIORIO & TESKA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW ATTORNEYS 260 BEAR HILL ROAD			EXAMINER	
			EVANS, ROBIN OCTAVIA	
WALTHAM, MA 02451-1018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752	3
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			ι Λ/)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V			
	09/885,145	PETERSON ET AL	a.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robin O. Evans	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	lress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 C	October 2001 .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	With the time of time of time of the time of t					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	1					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document			•			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(f Informal Patent Application (PTC				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/885,145 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Laughlin (6,199,557).

Laughlin shows an electrostatically charge aerosol decontamination system having a source of decontamination reagent 60, electrostatic charge as described in column 9, line 40, nozzle 88, and pressurized fluid 62.

The device shown by Laughlin will inherently perform the method through normal operational use of the device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laughlin.

Laughlin shows all the claimed limitations including a pivoting disc that delivers the decontamination reagent to the spray nozzles but does disclose a rotating disc that delivers the reagent. It is deemed that the device used to deliver the reagent to the nozzles will be determined by the user having a desired result in mind. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added a rotating disc to Laughlin's device so as another way of deliver the reagent to the nozzles.

As to claim 7 and the limitation that the decontamination reagent is a powder, the type of reagent used will be determined by the user having a desired result in mind.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Laughlin (5,922,333), Roth, Marlowe and High all show devices in the general state of the art of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin O. Evans whose telephone number is (703) 305-5766. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7766 for regular communications and (703) 308-7766 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

> Robin O. Evans **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3752

roe May 15, 2003